

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,761	02/06/2001	Hiroyuki Asakura	33216M068	3257	
7:	590 02/11/2003				
Beveridge, DeGrandi, Weilacher & Young, L.L.P. Suite 800 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			EXAMINER		
			DANG, HUNG XUAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/776,761**

Applicant(s)

Asakura

Examiner

Hung X. Dang

Art Unit 2873



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
-lf NOp -Failure -Anγre	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Mage application to become	ONTHS fro	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 9, 206</u>	02					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-42			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-42</u>	are s	ubject 1	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	•	. ,					
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-	413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 🔲 Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Serial Number: 09/776,761 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

Restriction/Election

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, 10-16 and 19-42 drawn to ophthalmic lenses, classified in class 351, subclass 159.
- II. Claims 8, 9, 17 and 18 drawn to lenses coating, classified in class 428, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Each of the invention, I-II, recites limitations not recited in any of the other invention. The differing limitations make the inventions I-II patentably distinct from one another, i.e. a reference that anticipates or makes obvious one of the inventions I-II would not, by itself, anticipate or make obvious any of the remaining invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Ärt Unit: 2873

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

2. If Group I is elected, then an election of species, as set forth below is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct **species** of the claimed invention:

- A) Claims 1-7, 10-16 and 19-22 drawn to ophthalmic lenses with a marking.
- B) Claims 23-42 drawn to ophthalmic lenses with a configuration permitting visual discrimination between the inner region and the outer region of effective area of the lens.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

Serial Number: 09/776,761

Art Unit: 2873

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Serial Number: 09/776,761 Page 5

Årt Unit: 2873

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

2/03

HUNG X. DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNICAL CENTER 2800